Intermec Technologies Corp. v. Palm, Inc. Doc. 54

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ANDREA RESNICK, GARY BUNKER, JOHN HALEY, AMY LATHAM, ERIC ROSLANSKY and KEVIN SIMPSON, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. WALMART.COM USA LLC, WAL-MART STORES, INC., and NETFLIX, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 09-0002 PJH **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASE NO. 09-0349-EMC SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 AND 7-11**

Dockets.Justia.com

On January 28, 2009, Plaintiff in *Grime v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-0349 EMC, filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related Pursuant to Civil L. R. 3-12 and 7-11. The Court, having considered the papers and pleadings on file, and good cause appearing, HEREBY GRANTS the motion.

IT IS ORDERED that *Grime v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-0349 EMC, is hereby related to *Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC*, Case No. 09-cv-0002 PJH.

Grime v. Netflix, Inc., et al., Case No. 09-0349 EMC shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge pursuant to Civil L. R. 3-12(f).

Dated__________________, 2009

____
Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
United State District Court Judge

Netflix.003